(ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಜೋಡಿಕಾಳೆಮಠ)

ಒದಗಿಸಿದೆ. ಇನ್ನು ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲ ಮಳೆಯ ಅಭಾವವಿದೆಯೋ ಅಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಾ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅನೇಕ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಪಂಪ್‌ಸೆಟ್ ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳನ್ನೂ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಹೀಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೇವಲ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿಯ ನೌಕರವಾರರಿಗೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೇ ಅಲ್ಲದೇ ರೈತಾಪಿ ಜನತೆಯ ಅನುಕೂಲಕ್ಕೂ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಗಮನ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಶಯವೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇದೇ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ನಾವು ನಮ್ಮ ವಿದ್ಯಾ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯ ವಿಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದರೆ ಕಳೆದ 20 ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟು ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆ ಅನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳತೀರದಾಗಿದೆ. ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳೂ ಕೂಡ ಜನರಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತಿವೆ. ಇಷ್ಟೆಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಾಧಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಅದನ್ನು ಮರೆಮಾಚಿ ಏನೂ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ ಅಂತ ಟೀಕೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಗುರಿಯನ್ನು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯ ಪಥದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಟ್ಟದೆ ಇದ್ದರೂ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಗತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಾಧಿಸಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಗುರಿ ಮುಟ್ಟಬಿರಬಹುದು. ಆದರೆ ನಾನು ಈ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರು ತಮ್ಮ ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಆಯುರ್ವೇದ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳು ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅವು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿಯ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳು ಅನಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವು ಯಾವುಕ್ಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕವರ್ಗವಿಲ್ಲ, ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಎಕ್ವಿಪ್‌ಮೆಂಟುಗಳಿಲ್ಲ, ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಶಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯಿಲ್ಲ, ಫಾರಂ ಇಲ್ಲ, ಹೀಗೆ ಯಾವ ಒಂದೂ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳಿಲ್ಲದ ಕಡೆ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಮಟ್ಟದ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಇರುತ್ತೆ? ಅಲ್ಲಿ ತಯಾರಾದವರು ಪರಿಣತರಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಲೀ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಡಾಕ್ಟರುಗಳಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಲೀ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಸೌಕರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Member may stop now and resume his speech on 22nd as it is 6 o'clock now.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—I was promised to be allowed to move my motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.— There are two motions for which I have promised to give time today. As it is getting 6 o'clock, I extend the time of today's sitting by 15 minutes to take up these two motions.

6-00 P.M.

Allotment of seats to defectors

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—Sir, a notice has been given by me under Rule 312 to bring certain matters which cannot be raised as a Point of Order on the Floor of the House, and it is this matter, I saw in the last Session that some of the Members who were just sitting on this Side of the House were found sitting on the other side of the House. The allotment of seats is the concern of the Hon. Speaker as per rule 4 of the Rules of Procedure, and there is also a convention that has been laid down by the several Parliaments and Legislatures, that if we take it as a defection, definitely the defector must necessarily not only inform to his Leader, but also he has to resign from his Party. After resigning, he can request the Hon. Speaker to allot him a seat on the other side. So far as I know, the Hon. Deputy Minister, when he crossed the Floor, he had not resigned his membership from the Party to which he originally belonged. It is also a rule of the Congress Party that a member cannot have membership of two political parties.

Therefore, they should not also accept the defectors unless they are satisfied that members have resigned from the Party to which they belonged previously. I do not want to discuss about the conduct and other things. The Hon. Speaker should be satisfied whether he has resigned his party, and if he is not a partyman, then the Leader of the Opposition should be satisfied that he has resigned. Supposing the speaker may say that he has not yet been recognised as the Leader of the Opposition, still I submit that for all purposes, he is taken as the Leader of the Oppsition. Therefore, such members, till they submit a letter to the Hon. Speaker that they have resigned from their particular party or to the Leader of the Opposition if they do not belong to any Party, the Hon. Speaker is not supposed to allot them a seat on the other Side. So far as I know none of these persons have tendered their resignation to the Opposition Party. Under the circumstances, I take strong objection regarding the allotment of seats on the other Side merely on the letter of some Congress leaders. I submit that this convention which has been laid down by the Speakers of various sister Assemblies as well as Parliament should be followed here also. In support of my argument, I quote a decision from the Chair of the Andhra Pradesh Assembly :

> "On the 17th February, 1959, the Speaker gave the following ruling with regard to the Members who intended to resign from their previous parties and from the Democratic Party :
>
> I want to know whether the hon. Members who want to join the new block (Democratic Party) have sent in their resignation to the Leaders of their respective parties, *i. e.*, those who belong to the Congress Legislature Party to the Leader of that Party and those belonging to the Nationalist Party to the Leader of that party because they were part and parcel of that particular party. Therefore, it is but necessary that they should send their resignations to their party leaders and I hope that they have already sent them.....
>
> It is not necessary that the concerned party leader should inform me that they have submitted their resignations. You may even submit your resignations through me, if you so desire.....while I am not interested in the mutual disputes I have to tell this because it is my duty to ask you to submit your resignations as required under the parliamentary conventions."

It is by convention that the first seat on the right side is allotted to the Chief Minister. But, the rule is silent about it. Seats are allotted to the Ruling Party on the right side and to the Opposition on the left side. The seats should be given according to the strength of the parties. This convention is there. But the conventions are not adopted in this House. Some fine morning some Member sitting on this Side will be sitting on the other side, and we do not know it. First of all, the Hon. Speaker must be satisfied that a member has resigned his Party;

(SRI M. NAGAPPA)

otherwise such a member may be asked to come and resume his original seat till such time the Speaker is assured that he has tendered his resignation to his original Party.

Defection is very bad for democracy. We see some of the Members are even capped. This capping business is bad and I submit that this should be stopped. Even today I read an artical in a Paper that at least political parties must be so moraly bound that they should not allow a defector. Unless they do it, the situation prevailing in the country cannot be avoided. Therefore, please do not accept such defectors. They must resign and go and seek the verdict of the people from whom they are elected.

With these observations, I submit that this is a very bad and unhealthy situation that has been created. Therefore, well established conventions should be followed henceforth.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—What the rule says is, reallotment of seats should be made.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. member has already explained. Sri Nagappa has explained it thoroughly. Whenever an hon. Member of this House crosses the floor, it is incumbent on my part to inform the Leader of the Party or the group to which he belongs before he joins this party or that party.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—Each member represents one lakh people. Every member is responsible to his Constituency from which he is elected. For example, I am elected against a Congress candidature, the people of my constituency believe that I am here as an opposition member. The purpose for which a member is elected will be defeated if he crosses the floor. That is the point I want to bring to your notice.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—The Congress party has made him to resign. He must seek re-election.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is not the point before me.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಈ ಪಕ್ಷಾಂತರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಕಾಗದ ಇದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬಹುಮಾನವಾಗಿ ಟಿಚ್ಚಾರೆ, ಕರಮಣಿ ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. member may pass on that paper to me.

Sri H. N NANJEGOUDA (Arkalgud).—It appears he may be made Chairman of the Janatha Bazaar.

Mr. SPEAKER.— I am not concerned with it. I am only concerned with seating arrangements.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—Let us be permitted to have our say in the matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not concerned with defection. Therefore I cannot allow anybody to speak.

Sri D. B. KALMANKAR.—If every M.L.A. does like this, every one of us will be looked down by electorates.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have not given my consent for this. So, let there be no more discussion over it. I call upon Sri Bommai to speak.

Sri M NAGAPPA.—Under what rule the Chair asks Mr. Bommai to speak ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have heard some members. Therefore, for the same reasons, he wants to be heard.

Sri H. N. NANJE GOWDA.—He will be appointed as Chairman of the Janatha Bazaar, Hubli. It is not the business of the House to hear him. I cannot understand why the Chair is permitting him to speak.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The points raised by Sri Nanje Gowda has no force in it. Sri Nagappa has asked me whether seating arrangements have been made for Sri Bommai. That is the only thing that I have to look into. I am not concerned whether a member has left his party and joined the other party

Sri N. HUTCHMASTI GOWDA.—There are some persons to speak on that. They may please be allowed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Nagappa and Sri Kalmankar have sufficiently covered all the points. There should be no more discussion on this. This is not a matter to be decided by discussion. The Chair will make the necessary seating arrangement.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—I rise to a point of order Sir. My point of order is that you were pleased to state that it is a matter of only seating and that is why Sri Bommai is called upon to speak. But it is not merely a matter of seating but a matter of cheating the public. If he is prepared to explain that also then you can permit him to speak.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—Sir Bommai is not the only member who should be made a target to explain. There are other members also who have changed their parties. I want to know under what provisions of the rules, the Chair is asking Sri Bommai to explain ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not asking him to explain. I am just giving him an opportunity to express himself if he so desires.

Sri G. CHANDRAKANTH.—Sir when you are giving Sri Bommai an opportunity, then I may also be given an opportunity to speak.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH.—Sir, by now we know that the hon. member has joined Congress and we have read about that in the news papers. He has been offered a cap and he is satisfied. He is also satisfied with the seating arrangement. Unnecessarily why should we sit to hear his views.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮಾಯಿ (ಕುಂದಗೋಳ).—ಈ ದಿವಸ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಿತ್ರರು ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಪಕ್ಷಾಂತರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ನನಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸೀಟಿನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri G. CHANDRAKANTH.—I rise to a point of order. May I know under what provisions of the rules, Sri Bommai is given an opportunity to speak. Sri Nagappa while speaking has not referred either to Sri Bommai or to any other member. Therefore Sri Bommai cannot explain his views.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I think the hon'ble member has heard Sri K. H. Patil and some other members. Sri Bommai wants to speak only with regard to the fact whether consent should be given to speak or not.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮಾಯಿ.—ನನ್ನ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಿತ್ರರು ಕೆಲವೊಂದು ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾತಿನಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನನ್ನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೆಲವು ಆಪಾದನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪನವರ ಮೋಷನ್ ನಲ್ಲಿ.....

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I want to know from the Chair whether any discussion will be allowed on this matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—No.

Sri S. SIVPPA.—Then why are you calling upon Sri Bommai to speak ?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I make a submission Sir. The hon. Member Mr. Nagappa having obtained your permission to raise his point stated his views. I suppose the Chair has taken all relevant factors in allotting the seats. Therefore I humbly submit that it is for the Chair to state its point of view to the hon. Member and there need not be any need for discussion. One other thing is that let not my friends on the Opposition side mistake me; if they had only taken up this point little earlier, it would have saved a lot of time. But still, now they have taken up, better late than never, it is for the Chair to express its re-actions.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I think it is not necessary for Sri Bommai to explain. When no reference has been made why does he want to involve himself unnecessarily ?

Sri S. R. BOMMAI.—I want to make an explanation only as to whether I have resigned from a particicular party and joined the other party. I will speek only on this point.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—I rise to a point of order. Sir, you were pleased to permit Sri Bommai few minutes earlier to speak. Now whether you have got the power to withdraw that permission, I want to know.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I can request the hon. Member not to press his point.

Sri S. R. BOMMAI.—Sir, my point is that while speaking some hon. Member has mentioned my name. I want to defend myself and explain to this House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಕುಂಬಳಕಾಯಿ ಕಳ್ಳ ಎಂದರೆ ಹೆಗಲು ಮುಟ್ಟಿ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಹಾಗೆ.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sir, as far as hon. Member Mr. Nagappa is concerned he has not made any reference to any member. But particularly hon. Member Mr. Krishnan not only made reference to Sri Bommai but also stated that he has cheated and he has to explain. I am very sorry that in this August House an hon. Member like Sri Krishnan should have stated like that.

(Several members rose to speak)

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall give my ruling tomorrow.

6-30 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮಾಯಿ.—ನಾನು ಯಾವ ಪಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದೆನೋ ಆ ಪಕ್ಷವಾದ ಲೋಕ ಸೇವಾ ಸಂಘಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಇಲ್ಲ ಶಾಸಕಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕ ನಾನೇ ಆಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವಪ್ಪನವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ನೀಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳುವುದಾದರೆ ಅವರು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಮೆಂಬರ್‌ಷಿಪ್‌ಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ...

(Interruption from Opposition Benches)

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall give a ruling. I have heard all the Members including Sri Bommai. I shall give my ruling tomorrow on this.

Meanwhile, I promised that I would give an opportunity to Sri Vatal Nagaraj this evening. Let him say what he wants to say within a couple of minutes. Members are evidently tired at the end of the day. It is probably necessary to extend the time. I extend the time by another five minutes.

Ruling re: Breach of Privilege committed by a Minister by Undertaking Tour in the Constituency of an Opposition Member.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್.—ಸ್ಪಾಪಿ, ನವೆಂಬರ್ 10ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ನನ್ನ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರವಾದ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆಗೆ ಆಗಿನ ಪುರಸಭಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀ ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಗೆ ಬಂದಾಗ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರ ಅವರನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದರು. ನನಗನ್ನಿಸುತ್ತದೆ, ಬೇರೆ ಪಕ್ಷಗಳಾದ ಪಿ.ಎಸ್.ಪಿ., ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಪಿ. ಮುಂತಾದ ಪಕ್ಷಗಳ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಕೇವಲ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದು ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರ ಅವರ ಪ್ರಭಾವವನ್ನು ನನ್ನ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ, ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಪ್ರಭಾವವನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಡ್ಡಿ ಆತಂಕವನ್ನುಂಟು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅವರನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದರು ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ. ವೈ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರ ಅವರು ಇವರ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇವತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರ ಅವರು ನನ್ನ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಭಾವವನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನನ್ನ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತಾರೆ, ಆದರೆ ವಾಟಾಳ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್ ಅವರ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಸಹ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಹಣ ಕೊಡಿ, ನನ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್‌ಗಳ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಾನು ಮತ್ತೆ ಈ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಿಂದ ಆರಿಸಿ ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನನ್ನ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಟಾಂ ಟಾಂ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ತಮ್ಮ ಸ್ವಂತ ಪ್ರಭಾವವನ್ನು ನನ್ನ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವರು ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗೆ ಧಕ್ಕೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆಯೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.